

Transportation Coordination Team

Transportation Budget Ranking and Recommendations

Methodology

In order to get your project on the recommended transportation budget priorities, come prepared to describe the project in ≤ 3 minutes.

As discussed at the last meeting, projects will be ranked according to how well they address the items below. In order to make the ranking consistent, I offer up the following points system. Both the list of ranking considerations as well as point values can be tweaked at the beginning of the meeting.

- 1. Project Name:** 5-10 word descriptive project name

- 2. Cost:** Dollar figure, rounded to the nearest \$1,000.

- 3. Cost Savings:** Money to be saved annually if project is completed, rounded to nearest \$1,000.
Points: 0: No/negligible savings indicated
1: Annual savings of \$1,000 - \$4,999
2: Annual savings of \$5,000 - \$9,999
3: Annual savings of \$10,000 - \$14,999
4: Annual savings of \$15,000+

Alternate Points System:
0: No/negligible cost/savings ratio
1: 10% cost/savings ratio (ROI 10 years)
2: 11-20% cost/savings ratio (ROI 5-9 years)
3: 21-50% cost/savings ratio (ROI 3-4 years)
4: 51-99% cost/savings ratio (ROI 2-3 years)
5: 100% cost/savings ratio (ROI 1 year)

- 4. Safety:** Likelihood of project to prevent injuries/death
Points: 0: no effect on injuries/death
1: some possible improvement on injuries/death
3: Guaranteed improvement on preventing injuries
5: Guaranteed improvement on preventing death

- 5. Reduced vehicle trips:** Project's effect on getting people not to drive in single occupancy vehicles.
Points: 0: No/negligible effect on reducing VMT
1: some possible reduction in VMT
3: Literature evidences as BMP in reducing VMT
5: Local experience evidences reduction of VMT

- 6. Greenhouse gas reduction:** Project reduces annual ghg production
 0: no/negligible effect on annual ghg production
 1: 1-5% reduction in annual ghg production
 1: 6-11% reduction in annual ghg production
 5: 15-20% reduction in annual ghg production
 7: 21+% reduction in annual ghg production
- 7. Quality of life:** Project increases quality of life aspects, including but not limited to:
- built environment
 - physical health
 - mental health
 - education
 - employment
 - recreation
 - leisure time
 - social belonging
- Points: ½ point for each one of these things you can link your project to.
- 8. Eligibility / Sources of outside funding:** List of potential or secured non-City funds for project.
 Points: 0: no outside funding identified or secured
 1: Outside funding identified
 2: 0-25% of outside funding secured
 3: 26-50% of outside funding secured
 5: 51-75% of outside funding secured
 10: 76-100% of outside funding secured
- 9. Legal issues:** Project is required to occur because of legal obligations
 Points: 0: Not a required obligation
 5: Impending legal obligation
 10: Immediate legal obligation
- 10. Helps another project:** Project assists other goals/projects within City
 Points: -5: Project affects other City projects negatively
 0: Project is a stand alone (neither helps nor hinders)
 5: Project assists other Dept projects in meeting their goals/completion
- 11. Aligns with Plans:** Project is called for by various existing City Plans, including but not limited to:
 Land Comprehensive Plan
 City Strategic Plan
 Climate Action Plan
 Other
- 12. Other Points for Consideration?**