

RESOLUTION NO. 07-160

**A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA, URGING PRESIDENT BUSH TO SIGN THE KYOTO PROTOCOL TO THE UNITED NATIONS AND CALLING FOR IMMEDIATE LOCAL AND NATIONAL ACTION TO ADDRESS GLOBAL WARMING; SUPPORTING IMPLEMENTATION OF SARASOTA COUNTY, FLORIDA'S ROADMAP TO SUSTAINABILITY IN THE CITY OF KEY WEST; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE**

WHEREAS, the citizens of Key West are concerned about the effects of global warming on the planet, and specifically on their vulnerable, low-lying island home; and

WHEREAS, the United States is the largest single emitter of carbon dioxide from the burning of fossil fuels; and

WHEREAS, the Kyoto Protocol, an agreement negotiated through the United Nations, and ratified by 169 international governmental entities to date, seeks to control and reduce greenhouse gases on a global scale; and

WHEREAS, President Bush has declined to submit the Kyoto Protocol for ratification by United States Congress, citing economic concerns, and an exemption granted to the nation of China, the second-largest emitter of carbon dioxide; and

WHEREAS, it is imperative that the United States and its leaders take prompt action to ensure our future well-being; and

WHEREAS, the City of Key West calls upon President Bush and his Administration to ratify the Kyoto Protocol, and to take immediate action to address the issue of global warming, to

preserve and protect the health, safety and welfare of the citizens of Key West, the United States, and the entire world;

WHEREAS, Sarasota County, Florida has developed a "Roadmap to Sustainability" incorporating principles of the Protocol on a local level that can be instructive to the City of Key West;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF KEY WEST, FLORIDA, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1: That President Bush is hereby urged to submit the Kyoto Protocol for ratification, and to lead the United States in the necessary efforts to reduce global warming.

Section 2: That the City Manager is encouraged to develop a similar "roadmap", or utilize the principles of the Sarasota County Plan, to ensure the City of Key West takes an environmentally sustainable approach to the operation of City business.


Section 3: That the City Clerk is hereby authorized to transmit certified copies of this Resolution to President George Bush, Vice President and Senate President Richard Cheney, House Speaker Nancy Pelosi, Senators Nelson and Martinez and Representative Ros-Lehtinen.

Section 4: That this Resolution shall go into effect immediately upon its passage and adoption and authentication by the signature of the presiding officer and the Clerk of the Commission.

Passed and adopted by the City Commission at a meeting held  
this 1 day of May, 2007.

Authenticated by the presiding officer and Clerk of the  
Commission on May 2, 2007.

Filed with the Clerk May 2, 2007.



MORGAN MOPHERSON, MAYOR

ATTEST:



CHERYL SMITH, CITY CLERK

# Kyoto Protocol

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

The **Kyoto Protocol to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change** is an amendment to the international treaty on climate change, assigning mandatory emission limitations for the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions to the signatory nations.

## Contents

- 1 Description
- 2 Objectives
- 3 Status of the agreement
- 4 Details of the agreement
  - 4.1 Common but differentiated responsibility
  - 4.2 Financial commitments
  - 4.3 Emissions trading
  - 4.4 Revisions
  - 4.5 Enforcement
- 5 Current positions of governments
  - 5.1 Australia
  - 5.2 Canada
  - 5.3 People's Republic of China
  - 5.4 European Union
    - 5.4.1 Germany
    - 5.4.2 United Kingdom
  - 5.5 India
  - 5.6 Russia
  - 5.7 United States
    - 5.7.1 Local governments
- 6 Support
- 7 Opposition
- 8 Cost-benefit analysis
  - 8.1 Discount rates
- 9 Increase in GHG emission since 1990
- 10 Successor
- 11 Asia Pacific Partnership on Clean Development and Climate
- 12 See also
- 13 References
- 14 External links
  - 14.1 Protocol
  - 14.2 Implementation



The Kyoto Protocol is an amendment to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change

### Kyoto Protocol

Opened for signature	December 11, 1997 in Kyoto, Japan
Entered into force	February 16, 2005.
Conditions for entry into force	55 parties and at least 55% CO <sub>2</sub> 1990 emissions by UNFCCC Annex I parties.
Parties	169 countries and other governmental entities (as of December 2006)

In 2001, a continuation of the previous meeting (COP6bis) was held in Bonn where the required decisions were adopted. After some concessions, the supporters of the protocol (led by the European Union) managed to get Japan and Russia in as well by allowing more use of carbon dioxide sinks.

COP7 was held from 29 October 2001 – 9 November 2001 in Marrakech to establish the final details of the protocol.

The first Meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (MOP1) was held in Montreal from November 28 to December 9, 2005, along with the 11th conference of the Parties to the UNFCCC (COP11). See United Nations Climate Change Conference.

## Enforcement

If the Enforcement Branch determines that an Annex I country is not in compliance with its emissions limitation, then that country is required to make up the difference plus an additional 30 percent. In addition, that country will be suspended from making transfers under an emissions trading program.<sup>[10]</sup>


## Current positions of governments

*See also: List of Kyoto Protocol signatories*

### Australia

Despite the fact that Australia was at the time of the negotiation already one of the biggest emitters on a per capita basis<sup>[11]</sup> (albeit the lowest on a per square kilometre basis due to low overall population density), the country was granted a limitation of an 8% increase. This is because Australia used its relative smallness, and the dependence of Australian electricity generation on coal, as a negotiation tool while other big players were negotiating. The result of the negotiation was reported in the Australian media as being to Australia's advantage.

Nonetheless, the Australian Prime Minister, John Howard, has refused to ratify the Agreement and has argued that the protocol would cost Australians jobs,<sup>[12]</sup> due to countries with booming economies and massive populations such as China and India not having any reduction obligations. By way of example, industrial growth within China is expected to increase pollution within 9 months, and even if Australia were to shut down all of its coal fired power stations it would not negate this increase. Further, the Government takes the view that Australia is already doing enough to cut emissions; the Australian government has recently pledged \$300 million over the next three years to reduce Greenhouse gas emissions. The Federal Opposition, the Australian Labor Party, is in full support of the protocol<sup>[13]</sup> and it is currently a heavily debated issue within the political establishment. The opposition claims ratifying the protocol is a "risk free" prospect as they claim Australia would already be meeting the obligations the protocol would impose. This claim relies heavily on changes to land clearing policies that can only occur once, while ongoing emission sources have all increased substantially.



Carbon emissions from various global regions during the period 1800-2000 AD

As of 2005, Australia was the world's largest emitter per capita of greenhouse gases.<sup>[14]</sup> Analysis has projected Australia's greenhouse gas emissions at 109% of the 1990 emissions level over the period 2008–12. This is slightly above its 108% Kyoto Protocol limitation. Australia remains committed to meeting its target despite not ratifying the Protocol.<sup>[15]</sup>

The Australian government, along with the United States, agreed to sign the Asia Pacific Partnership on Clean Development and Climate at the ASEAN regional forum on 28 July 2005. Furthermore, the Australian state of New South Wales (NSW) commenced The NSW Greenhouse Gas Abatement Scheme (GGAS).<sup>[16]</sup> This mandatory greenhouse gas emissions trading scheme commenced on 1 January 2003 and is currently being trialed by the state government in NSW alone. Uniquely this scheme allows Accredited Certificate Providers (ACP) to trade emissions from householders in the state. As of 2006 the scheme is still in place despite Prime Minister John Howard's clear dismissal of emissions trading as a credible solution to climate change. Following the example of NSW, the National Emissions Trading Scheme (NETS) has been established as an initiative of State and Territory Governments of Australia, all of which have Labor Party governments.<sup>[17]</sup> The focus of NETS is to bring into existence an intra-Australian carbon trading scheme and to coordinate policy developments to this end. According to the Constitution of Australia,<sup>[18]</sup> environmental matters are under the jurisdiction of the States, and the NETS is intended to facilitate ratification of the Kyoto Protocol by the Labor Party if they are elected to government in the 2007 Federal Elections.

## Canada

On December 17, 2002, Canada ratified the treaty that came into force in February 2005, requiring it to reduce emissions to 6% below 1990 levels during the 2008-2012 commitment period. At that time, numerous polls showed support for the Kyoto protocol at around 70%,<sup>[19]</sup><sup>[20]</sup> Despite strong public support, there was still some opposition, particularly by some business groups,<sup>[21]</sup> and energy concerns, using arguments similar to those being used in the US. In particular, there was a fear that since US companies would not be affected by the Kyoto Protocol that Canadian companies would be at a disadvantage in terms of trade. In 2005, the result was limited to an ongoing "war of words", primarily between the government of Alberta (Canada's primary oil and gas producer) and the federal government. There were even fears that Kyoto could threaten national unity, specifically with regard to Alberta. As of 2003, the federal government claimed to have spent or committed 3.7 billion dollars on climate change programmes.<sup>[22]</sup> By 2004, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions had risen to 27 per cent above 1990 levels (which compares unfavorably to the 16 percent increase in emissions by the United States during that time).<sup>[23]</sup>

In January 2006, a Conservative minority government under Stephen Harper was elected, who previously has expressed opposition to Kyoto, and in particular to the plan to participate in international emission trading. Rona Ambrose, who replaced Stéphane Dion as the environment minister, has since endorsed some types of emission trading, and indicated interest in international trading.<sup>[24]</sup> On April 25, 2006, Ambrose announced that Canada would have no chance of meeting its targets under Kyoto, and would look to participate in U.S. sponsored Asia-Pacific Partnership on Clean Development and Climate. "We've been looking at the Asia-Pacific Partnership for a number of months now because the key principles around [it] are very much in line with where our government wants to go," Ambrose told reporters.<sup>[25]</sup> On May 2, 2006, it was reported that environmental funding designed to meet the Kyoto standards had been cut, while the Harper government develops a new plan to take its place.<sup>[26]</sup> As the co-chair of UN Climate Change Conference in Nairobi in November 2006, Canada and its government received criticism from environmental groups and from other governments for its climate change

positions<sup>[27]</sup>

Canada's federal government has introduced legislation to set mandatory emissions targets for industry, but it will not take effect until an estimated 2050. The government has since begun working with opposition parties to improve the legislation.

A private member's bill,<sup>[28]</sup> was put forth by Pablo Rodriguez, Liberal, aiming to force the government to "ensure that Canada meets its global climate change obligations under the Kyoto Protocol." With the support of the Liberals, the New Democratic Party and the Bloc Québécois, and with the current minority situation, the bill passed on 14 February 2007 with a vote of 161-113,<sup>[29]</sup> giving the government 60 days to form a detailed plan of action. The government has flatly refused to abide by the bill, which may spark a constitutional crisis, lawsuit, or non-confidence motion once the bill becomes law, as is expected.<sup>[30]</sup>

## People's Republic of China

The People's Republic of China insists that the gas emissions level of any given country is a multiplication of its per capita emission and its population. China endorses this because of the advantage it would get within the new restrictions. Because China has emplaced population control measures while maintaining low emissions per capita, it claims it should therefore in both the above aspects be considered a contributor to the world environment. China considers the criticism of its energy policy unjust.<sup>[31]</sup> China is currently the second largest emitter of greenhouse gases, and is expected to become the largest by 2009.<sup>[32]</sup>

In 2004 the total greenhouse gas emissions from China were about 54% of the USA emissions [3] ([http://www.carbonplanet.com/home/country\\_emissions.php](http://www.carbonplanet.com/home/country_emissions.php)). China is now building on average a coal-fired power plant every week and plans to continue doing so for years [4] (<http://www.csmonitor.com/2004/1223/p01s04-sten.html>)[5] (<http://www.newstarget.com/021386.html>). Some predictions (in 2006) are that China will emit more greenhouse gas than the USA in 2 or 3 years [6] (<http://news.independent.co.uk/world/asia/article1962439.ece>) [7] (<http://www.alertnet.org/thenews/newsdesk/PEK78904.htm>).

## European Union

On May 31, 2002, all fifteen then-members of the European Union deposited the relevant ratification paperwork at the UN. The EU produces around 22% of global greenhouse gas emissions, and has agreed to a cut, on average, by **8% from 1990 emission levels**. On 10 January 2007, the European Commission announced plans for a European Union energy policy that included a unilateral 20% reduction in GHG emissions by 2020.

The EU has consistently been one of the major nominal supporters of the Kyoto Protocol, negotiating hard to get wavering countries on board.

In December 2002, the EU created an emissions trading system in an effort to meet these tough targets. Quotas were introduced in six key industries: energy, steel, cement, glass, brick making, and paper/cardboard. There are also fines for member nations that fail to meet their obligations, starting at €40/ton of carbon dioxide in 2005, and rising to €100/ton in 2008. Current EU projections suggest that

by 2008 the EU will be at 4.7% below 1990 levels.

Transport CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the EU grew by 32% between 1990 and 2004. The share of transport in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions was 21% in 1990, but by 2004 this had grown to 28%.

The position of the EU is not without controversy in Protocol negotiations, however. One criticism is that, rather than reducing 8%, all the EU member countries should cut 15% as the EU insisted a uniform target of 15% for other developed countries during the negotiation while allowing itself to share a big reduction in the former East Germany to meet the 15% goal for the entire EU. Also, emission levels of former Warsaw Pact countries who now are members of the EU have already been reduced as a result of their economic restructuring. This may mean that the region's 1990 baseline level is inflated compared to that of other developed countries, thus giving European economies a potential competitive advantage over the U.S.

Both the EU (as the European Community) and its member states are signatories to the Kyoto treaty.

### **Germany**

On June 28, 2006, the German government announced it would exempt its coal industry from requirements under the Kyoto agreement. Claudia Kemfert, an energy professor at the German Institute for Economic Research in Berlin said, "For all its support for a clean environment and the Kyoto Protocol, the cabinet decision is very disappointing. The energy lobbies have played a big role in this decision."<sup>[33]</sup>

### **United Kingdom**

The energy policy of the United Kingdom fully endorses goals for carbon dioxide emissions reduction and has committed to proportionate reduction in national emissions on a phased basis. The United Kingdom is a signatory to the Kyoto Protocol.

On March 13, 2007, a draft Climate Change Bill was published after cross-party pressure over several years, led by environmental groups. The Bill aims to put in place a framework to achieve a mandatory 60% cut in the UK's carbon emissions by 2050 (compared to 1990 levels), with an intermediate target of between 26% and 32% by 2020.<sup>[34]</sup> If approved, the United Kingdom is likely to become the first country to set such a long-range and significant carbon reduction target into law.

The UK currently appears on course to meet its Kyoto limitation for the basket of greenhouse gases, assuming the Government is able to curb rising carbon dioxide emissions between now (2006) and the period 2008-2012.<sup>[35]</sup> However, annual net carbon dioxide emission levels in the UK have actually risen by around 2 per cent since Tony Blair's Labour Party came to power in 1997.<sup>[35]</sup> Furthermore, it now seems highly unlikely that the Government will be able to honour its manifesto pledge to cut carbon dioxide emissions by 20 per cent from 1990 levels by the year 2010,<sup>[35]</sup> unless a Climate Change Act is passed in 2006-7 and the Government takes immediate and drastic action to curb emissions over the next few years.

### **India**

India signed and ratified the Protocol in August, 2002.

## Description

*See also: Global warming*

The Kyoto Protocol is an agreement made under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). Countries that ratify this protocol commit to reduce their emissions of carbon dioxide and five other greenhouse gases, or engage in emissions trading if they maintain or increase emissions of these gases.

The Kyoto Protocol now covers more than 160 countries globally and over 55% of global greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions.

At its heart, the Kyoto Protocol establishes the following principles:

- Kyoto is underwritten by governments and is governed by global legislation enacted under the UN's aegis
- Governments are separated into two general categories: developed countries, referred to as Annex I countries (who have accepted GHG emission reduction obligations and must submit an annual greenhouse gas inventory); and developing countries, referred to as Non-Annex I countries (who have no GHG emission reduction obligations but may participate in the Clean Development Mechanism).
- Any Annex I country that fails to meet its Kyoto obligation will be penalized by having to submit 1.3 emission allowances in a second commitment period for every ton of GHG emissions they exceed their cap in the first commitment period (i.e, 2008-2012).
- By 2008-2012, Annex I countries have to reduce their GHG emissions by an average of 5% below their 1990 levels (for many countries, such as the EU member states, this corresponds to some 15% below their expected GHG emissions in 2008). While the average emissions reduction is 5%, national limitations range from 8% reductions for the European Union to a 10% emissions increase for Iceland; but since the EU intends to meet its target by distributing different rates among its member states,<sup>[1]</sup> much larger increases (up to 27%) are allowed for some of the less developed EU countries (see below #Increase in GHG emission since 1990). Reduction limitations expire in 2013.
- Kyoto includes "flexible mechanisms" which allow Annex I economies to meet their GHG emission limitation by purchasing GHG emission reductions from elsewhere. These can be bought either from financial exchanges (such as the new unrelated-to-Kyoto EU Emissions Trading Scheme) or from projects which reduce emissions in non-Annex I economies under the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM), or in other Annex-1 countries under the JI.
- Only CDM Executive Board-accredited Certified Emission Reductions (CER) can be bought and sold in this manner. Under the aegis of the UN, Kyoto established this Bonn-based Clean Development Mechanism Executive Board to assess and approve projects ("CDM Projects") in Non-Annex I economies prior to awarding CERs. (A similar scheme called "Joint Implementation" or "JI" applies in transitional economies mainly covering the former Soviet Union and Eastern Europe).

What this means in practice is that Non-Annex I economies have no GHG emission restrictions, but when a GHG emission reduction project (a "GHG Project") is implemented in these countries, that GHG Project will receive Carbon Credit which can be sold to Annex I buyers.

The Kyoto linking mechanisms are in place for two main reasons:

- the cost of complying with Kyoto is prohibitive for many Annex I countries (especially those countries, such as Japan or the Netherlands for example, with highly efficient, low GHG polluting industries, and high prevailing environmental standards). Kyoto therefore allows these countries to purchase Carbon Credits instead of reducing GHG emissions domestically; and,
- this is seen as a means of encouraging Non-Annex I developing economies to reduce GHG emissions since doing so is now economically viable because of the sale of Carbon Credits.

All the Annex I economies have established Designated National Authorities to manage their GHG portfolios under Kyoto. Countries including Japan, Canada, Italy, the Netherlands, Germany, France, Spain and many more, are actively promoting government carbon funds and supporting multilateral carbon funds intent on purchasing Carbon Credits from Non-Annex I countries. These government organizations are working closely with their major utility, energy, oil & gas and chemicals conglomerates to try to acquire as many GHG Certificates as cheaply as possible.

Virtually all of the Non-Annex I countries have also set up their own Designated National Authorities to manage the Kyoto process (and specifically the “CDM process” whereby these host government entities decide which GHG Projects they do or do not wish to support for accreditation by the CDM Executive Board).

The objectives of these opposing groups are quite different. Annex I entities want Carbon Credits as cheaply as possible, whilst Non-Annex I entities want to maximise the value of Carbon Credits generated from their domestic GHG Projects.

## Objectives

The objective is the "stabilization of greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere at a level that would prevent dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system."<sup>[2]</sup>


The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has predicted an average global rise in temperature of 1.4°C (2.5°F) to 5.8 °C (10.4°F) between 1990 and 2100.<sup>[3]</sup>

Proponents also note that Kyoto is a first step<sup>[4][5]</sup> as requirements to meet the UNFCCC will be modified until the objective is met, as required by UNFCCC Article 4.2(d).<sup>[6]</sup>

## Status of the agreement


The treaty was negotiated in Kyoto, Japan in December 1997, opened for signature on March 16, 1998, and closed on March 15, 1999. The agreement came into force on February 16, 2005 following ratification by Russia on November 18, 2004. As of December 2006, a total of 169 countries and other governmental entities have ratified the agreement (representing over 61.6% of emissions from Annex I countries).<sup>[7][8]</sup> Notable exceptions include the United States and Australia. Other countries, like India and China, which have ratified the protocol, are not required to reduce carbon emissions under the present agreement

Global Trends in Major Greenhouse Gases to 1/2003



Global Trends in Major Greenhouse Gases through the year 2003. These five gases are used to model 1% of the short-term climate forcing since pre-industrial (at 1750). The remaining 99% is attributed to an equivalent of 1.6 times more greenhouse gases, mainly CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O and CFCs.

Kyoto is intended to cut global emissions of greenhouse gases.



Participation in the Kyoto Protocol, where dark green indicates countries that have signed and ratified the treaty and yellow indicates states that have signed and hope to ratify the treaty. Australia and the United States have signed the treaty but refuse to ratify it.

despite their relatively large populations.

According to article 25 of the protocol, it enters into force "on the ninetieth day after the date on which not less than 55 Parties to the Convention, incorporating Parties included in Annex I which accounted in total for at least 55% of the total carbon dioxide emissions for 1990 of the Parties included in Annex I, have deposited their instruments of ratification, acceptance, approval or accession." Of the two conditions, the "55 parties" clause was reached on May 23, 2002 when Iceland ratified. The ratification by Russia on 18 November 2004 satisfied the "55%" clause and brought the treaty into force, effective February 16, 2005.

## Details of the agreement

According to a press release from the United Nations Environment Programme:

*"The Kyoto Protocol is an agreement under which industrialised countries will reduce their collective emissions of greenhouse gases by 5.2% compared to the year 1990 (but note that, compared to the emissions levels that would be expected by 2010 without the Protocol, this limitation represents a 29% cut). The goal is to lower overall emissions of six greenhouse gases - carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, sulfur hexafluoride, HFCs, and PFCs - calculated as an average over the five-year period of 2008-12. National limitations range from 8% reductions for the European Union and some others to 7% for the US, 6% for Japan, 0% for Russia, and permitted increases of 8% for Australia and 10% for Iceland."*

It is an agreement negotiated as an amendment to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC, which was adopted at the Earth Summit in Rio de Janeiro in 1992). All parties to the UNFCCC can sign or ratify the Kyoto Protocol, while non-parties to the UNFCCC cannot. The Kyoto Protocol was adopted at the third session of the Conference of Parties to the UNFCCC (COP3) in 1997 in Kyoto, Japan.

Most provisions of the Kyoto Protocol apply to developed countries, listed in Annex I to the UNFCCC.

### Common but differentiated responsibility

The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change agreed to a set of a "common but differentiated responsibilities." The parties agreed that

1. The largest share of historical and current global emissions of greenhouse gases has originated in developed countries;
2. Per capita emissions in developing countries are still relatively low;
3. The share of global emissions originating in developing countries will grow to meet their social and development needs.<sup>[9]</sup>

In other words, China, India, and other developing countries were exempt from the requirements of the

Kyoto Protocol because they were not the main contributors to the greenhouse gas emissions during the industrialization period that is believed to be causing today's climate change.

However, critics of Kyoto argue that China, India, and other developing countries will soon be the top contributors to greenhouse gases. Also, without Kyoto restrictions on these countries, industries in developed countries would be driven towards these non-restricted countries, thus there would be no net reduction in carbon.

### **Financial commitments**

The Protocol also reaffirms the principle that developed countries have to pay billions of dollars, and supply technology to other countries for climate-related studies and projects. This was originally agreed in the UNFCCC.

### **Emissions trading**

Kyoto is a 'cap and trade' system that imposes national caps on the emissions of Annex I countries. On average, this cap requires countries to reduce their emissions 5.2% below their 1990 baseline over the 2008 to 2012 period. Although these caps are national-level commitments, in practice most countries will devolve their emissions targets to individual industrial entities, such as a power plant or paper factory. An example of a 'cap and trade' system is the unrelated-to-Kyoto 'EU ETS'. Other countries may follow suit in time.

This means that the ultimate buyers of Credits are often individual companies that expect their emissions to exceed their quota (their Assigned Allocation Units, Allowances for short). Typically, they will purchase Credits directly from another party with excess allowances, from a broker, from a JI/CDM developer, or on an exchange.

National governments, some of whom may not have devolved responsibility for meeting Kyoto obligations to industry, and that have a net deficit of Allowances, will buy credits for their own account, mainly from JI/CDM developers. These deals are occasionally done directly through a national fund or agency, as in the case of the Dutch government's ERUPT programme, or via collective funds such as the World Bank's Prototype Carbon Fund (PCF). The PCF, for example, represents a consortium of six governments and 17 major utility and energy companies on whose behalf it purchases Credits.

Since Carbon Credits are tradeable instruments with a transparent price, financial investors have started buying them for pure trading purposes. This market is expected to grow substantially, with banks, brokers, funds, arbitrageurs and private traders eventually participating. Emissions Trading PLC, for example, was floated on the London Stock Exchange's AiM market in 2005 with the specific remit of investing in emissions instruments.

Although Kyoto created a framework and a set of rules for a global carbon market, there are in practice several distinct schemes or markets in operation today, with varying degrees of linkages among them.

Kyoto enables a group of several Annex I countries to join together to create a so-called 'bubble', or a cluster of countries that is given an overall emissions cap and is treated as a single entity for compliance purposes. The EU elected to be treated as such a group, and created the EU Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) as a market-within-a-market. The ETS's currency is an EUA (EU Allowance). The scheme went

into operation on 1 January 2005, although a forward market has existed since 2003.

The UK established its own learning-by-doing voluntary scheme, the UK ETS, which ran from 2002 through 2006. This market will exist alongside the EU's scheme, and participants in the UK scheme have the option of applying to opt out of the first phase of the EU ETS, which lasts through 2007.

Next to the unrelated-to-Kyoto EU ETS, the most important sources of Kyoto-related credits are the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) and the Joint Implementation (JI) mechanism. The CDM allows the creation of new Carbon Credits by developing emission reduction projects in Non-Annex I countries, while JI allows project-specific credits to be converted from existing credits in Annex I countries. CDM projects produce Certified Emission Reductions (CERs), and JI projects produce Emission Reduction Units (ERUs). CERs are valid for meeting EU ETS obligations as of now, and ERUs will become similarly valid from 2008 (although individual countries may choose to limit the number and source of CER/JIs they will allow for compliance purposes starting from 2008). CERs/ERUs are overwhelmingly bought from project developers by funds or individual entities, rather than being exchange-traded like EUAs.

Since the creation of these instruments is subject to a lengthy process of registration and certification by the UN, and the projects themselves require several years to develop, this market is at this point almost completely a forward market where purchases are made at a deep discount to their equivalent currency, the EUA, and are almost always subject to certification and delivery (although up-front payments are sometimes made). According to IETA, the market value of CDM/JI credits transacted in 2004 was EUR 245 m; it is estimated that more than EUR 620 m worth of credits were transacted in 2005.


Several non-Kyoto carbon markets are already in existence as well, and these are likely to grow in importance and numbers in the coming years. These include the New South Wales Greenhouse Gas Abatement Scheme, the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI) in the United States, the Chicago Climate Exchange, the State of California's recent initiative to reduce emissions, the commitment of hundreds of US mayors to adopt Kyoto targets for their cities, and the State of Oregon's emissions abatement program.

Taken together, these initiatives point to a series of linked markets, rather than a single carbon market. The common theme across most of them is the adoption of market-based mechanisms centered on Carbon Credits that represent a reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The fact that most of these initiatives have similar approaches to certifying their credits makes it conceivable that Carbon Credits in one market may in the long run be tradeable in most other schemes. This would broaden the current carbon market far more than the current focus on the CDM/JI and EU ETS domains. An obvious precondition, however, is a realignment of penalties and fines to similar levels, since these create an effective ceiling for each market.

## Revisions

The protocol left several issues open to be decided later by the Conference of Parties (COP). COP6 attempted to resolve these issues at its meeting in the Hague in late 2000, but was unable to reach an agreement due to disputes between the European Union on the one hand (which favoured a tougher agreement) and the United States, Canada, Japan and Australia on the other (which wanted the agreement to be less demanding and more flexible).

Since India is exempted from the framework of the treaty, it is expected to gain from the protocol in terms of transfer of technology and related foreign investments. At the G-8 meeting in June 2005, Indian Prime Minister Manmohan Singh pointed out that the per-capita emission rates of the developing countries are a tiny fraction of those in the developed world. Following the principle of *common but differentiated responsibility*, India maintains that the major responsibility of curbing emission rests with the developed countries, which have accumulated emissions over a long period of time.



## Russia

*See also: Energy policy of Russia*

Vladimir Putin approved the treaty on November 4, 2004 and Russia officially notified the United Nations of its ratification on November 18, 2004. The issue of Russian ratification was particularly closely watched in the international community, as the accord was brought into force 90 days after Russian ratification (February 16, 2005).

President Putin had earlier decided in favour of the protocol in September 2004, along with the Russian cabinet,<sup>[36]</sup> against the opinion of the Russian Academy of Sciences, of the Ministry for Industry and Energy and of the then president's economic advisor, Andrey Illarionov, and in exchange to EU's support for Russia's admission in the WTO.<sup>[37]</sup> As anticipated after this, ratification by the lower (22 October 2004) and upper house of parliament did not encounter any obstacles.

The Kyoto Protocol limits emissions to a percentage increase or decrease from their 1990 levels. Since 1990 the economies of most countries in the former Soviet Union have collapsed, as have their greenhouse gas emissions. Because of this, Russia should have no problem meeting its commitments under Kyoto, as its current emission levels are substantially below its limitations.

It is debatable whether Russia will benefit from selling emissions credits to other countries in the Kyoto Protocol.<sup>[38]</sup>

## United States

The United States (U.S.), although a signatory to the Kyoto Protocol, has neither ratified nor withdrawn from the Protocol. The signature alone is symbolic, as the Kyoto Protocol is non-binding on the United States unless ratified. The United States was, as of 2005, the largest single emitter of carbon dioxide from the burning of fossil fuels.<sup>[39]</sup> China is projected to take over at the top of the table by 2010.<sup>[40]</sup>

On July 25, 1997, before the Kyoto Protocol was finalized (although it had been fully negotiated, and a penultimate draft was finished), the U.S. Senate unanimously passed by a 95–0 vote the Byrd-Hagel Resolution (S. Res. 98),<sup>[41][42]</sup> which stated the sense of the Senate was that the United States should not be a signatory to any protocol that did not include binding targets and timetables for developing as well as industrialized nations or "would result in serious harm to the economy of the United States". On November 12, 1998, Vice President Al Gore symbolically signed the protocol. Both Gore and Senator

Joseph Lieberman indicated that the protocol would not be acted upon in the Senate until there was participation by the developing nations.<sup>[43]</sup> The Clinton Administration never submitted the protocol to the Senate for ratification.

The Clinton Administration released an economic analysis in July 1998, prepared by the Council of Economic Advisors, which concluded that with emissions trading among the Annex B/Annex I countries, and participation of key developing countries in the "Clean Development Mechanism" — which grants the latter business-as-usual emissions rates through 2012 — the costs of implementing the Kyoto Protocol could be reduced as much as 60% from many estimates. Other economic analyses, however, prepared by the Congressional Budget Office and the Department of Energy, Energy Information Administration (EIA), and others, demonstrated a potentially large decline in GDP from implementing the Protocol.

The current President, George W. Bush, has indicated that he does not intend to submit the treaty for ratification, not because he does not support the Kyoto principles, but because of the exemption granted to China (the world's second largest emitter of carbon dioxide<sup>[44]</sup>). Bush also opposes the treaty because of the strain he believes the treaty would put on the economy; he emphasizes the uncertainties which are present in the climate change issue.<sup>[45]</sup> Furthermore, the U.S. is concerned with broader exemptions of the treaty. For example, the U.S. does not support the split between Annex I countries and others. Bush said of the treaty:

This is a challenge that requires a 100% effort; ours, and the rest of the world's. The world's second-largest emitter of greenhouse gases is the People's Republic of China. Yet, China was entirely exempted from the requirements of the Kyoto Protocol. India and Germany are among the top emitters. Yet, India was also exempt from Kyoto ... America's unwillingness to embrace a flawed treaty should not be read by our friends and allies as any abdication of responsibility. To the contrary, my administration is committed to a leadership role on the issue of climate change ... Our approach must be consistent with the long-term goal of stabilizing greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere."<sup>[46]</sup>

In keeping with its refusal to submit the protocol to Congress for ratification, the Bush Administration has taken no specific actions towards mitigation of climate change. In June 2002, the American Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) released the "Climate Action Report 2002". Some observers have interpreted this report as being supportive of the protocol, although the report itself does not explicitly endorse the protocol. At the G-8 meeting in June 2005 administration officials expressed a desire for "practical commitments industrialized countries can meet without damaging their economies". According to those same officials, the United States is on track to fulfill its pledge to reduce its carbon intensity 18% by 2012.<sup>[47]</sup> The United States has signed the Asia Pacific Partnership on Clean Development and Climate, a pact that allows those countries to set their goals for reducing greenhouse gas emissions individually, but with no enforcement mechanism. Supporters of the pact see it as complementing the Kyoto Protocol while being more flexible, but critics have said the pact will be ineffective without any enforcement measures.

In September 2006 the journal *Nature* reported that the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration had blocked an internal report which concluded that global warming caused by greenhouse gas emissions may be contributing to the frequency and strength of hurricanes.<sup>[48]</sup>

The Administration's position is not uniformly accepted in the U.S. For example, Paul Krugman notes that the target 18% reduction in carbon intensity is still actually an increase in overall emissions.<sup>[49]</sup> The White House has also come under criticism for downplaying reports that link human activity and

greenhouse gas emissions to climate change and that a White House official and former oil industry advocate, Philip Cooney, watered down descriptions of climate research that had already been approved by government scientists, charges the White House denies.<sup>[50]</sup> Critics point to the administration's close ties to the oil and gas industries. In June 2005, State Department papers showed the administration thanking Exxon executives for the company's "active involvement" in helping to determine climate change policy, including the U.S. stance on Kyoto. Input from the business lobby group Global Climate Coalition was also a factor.<sup>[51]</sup>

In 2002, Congressional researchers who examined the legal status of the Protocol advised that signature of the UNFCCC imposes an obligation to refrain from undermining the Protocol's object and purpose, and that while the President probably cannot implement the Protocol alone, Congress can create compatible laws on its own initiative.<sup>[52]</sup>

### Local governments

As of January 18, 2007, eight Northeastern US states are involved in the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI),<sup>[53]</sup> which is a state level emissions capping and trading program. It is believed that the state-level program will indirectly apply pressure on the federal government by demonstrating that reductions can be achieved without being a signatory of the Kyoto Protocol.

- **Participating states:** Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Connecticut, New York, New Jersey, Delaware, Massachusetts.
- **Observer states and regions:** Pennsylvania, Maryland, District of Columbia, Eastern Canadian Provinces.
- **Formerly participating states that have dropped out:** Rhode Island

On August 31, 2006, the California Legislature reached an agreement with Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger to reduce the state's greenhouse-gas emissions, which rank at 12th-largest in the world, by 25 percent by the year 2020. This resulted in the Global Warming Solutions Act which effectively puts California in line with the Kyoto initiative.

As of March 11, 2007, 418 US cities in 50 states, representing more than 60 million Americans support Kyoto after Mayor Greg Nickels of Seattle started a nationwide effort to get cities to agree to the protocol.

- **Large participating cities:** Annapolis; Austin; Boston; Chicago; Denver; Lansing, Michigan; Little Rock; Los Angeles; Madison, Wisconsin; Minneapolis; New Orleans; New York City; Philadelphia; Portland; Providence; Salt Lake City; San Francisco; San Jose; Seattle; Tacoma; West Palm Beach.
- **Full list of cities and mayors:**<sup>[54]</sup>

## Support

Advocates of the Kyoto Protocol claim that reducing these emissions is crucially important; carbon dioxide, they believe, is causing the earth's atmosphere to heat up. This is supported by attribution analysis.

No country has passed national legislation requiring compliance with their treaty obligation. The

governments of all of the countries whose parliaments have ratified the Protocol are supporting it. Most prominent among advocates of Kyoto have been the European Union and many environmentalist organizations. The United Nations and some individual nations' scientific advisory bodies (including the G8 national science academies) have also issued reports favoring the Kyoto Protocol.

An international day of action was planned for 3 December 2005, to coincide with the Meeting of the Parties in Montreal. The planned demonstrations were endorsed by the Assembly of Movements of the World Social Forum.

A group of major Canadian corporations also called for urgent action regarding climate change, and have suggested that Kyoto is only a first step.<sup>[55]</sup>

On 3 January 2006, after the Montreal accords a group of people assembled a petition with the goal to reach 50 million signatures supporting Kyoto Protocol and its goal by January 2008 - the starting date set by the Kyoto Protocol to show average 5% reduction in emissions. This petition was set out to give civil support and ratification to the international fight against Global Warming on a base of world wide active cooperation. As of January 19, 2007, the petition had 1535 signatures.<sup>[56][57]</sup>

In the United States, there is at least one student group, Kyoto Now!, which aims to use student interest to support pressure towards reducing emissions as targeted by the Kyoto Protocol compliance.

## Opposition

The two major countries who have signed the Protocol but are not intending to ratify it are the United States and Australia. Some public policy experts who are skeptical of global warming see Kyoto as a scheme to either slow the growth of the world's industrial democracies or to transfer wealth to the third world in what they claim is a global socialism initiative. Others argue the protocol does not go far enough to curb greenhouse emissions (Niue, The Cook Islands, and Nauru added notes to this effect when signing the protocol).<sup>[58]</sup>

Some environmental economists have been critical of the Kyoto Protocol.<sup>[59][60]</sup> Many see the costs of the Kyoto Protocol as outweighing the benefits, some believing the standards which Kyoto sets to be too optimistic, others seeing a highly inequitable and inefficient agreement which would do little to curb greenhouse gas emissions.<sup>[61][62]</sup> It should be noted, however, that this opposition is not unanimous, and that the inclusion of emissions trading has led some environmental economists to embrace the treaty.

Further, there is controversy surrounding the use of 1990 as a base year, as well as not using per capita emissions as a basis. Countries had different achievements in energy efficiency in 1990. For example, the former Soviet Union and eastern European countries did little to tackle the problem and their energy efficiency was at its worst level in 1990; the year just before their communist regimes fell. On the other hand, Japan, as a big importer of natural resources, had to improve its efficiency after the 1973 oil crisis and its emissions level in 1990 was better than most developed countries. However, such efforts were set aside, and the inactivity of the former Soviet Union was overlooked and could even generate big income due to the emission trade. There is an argument that the use of per capita emissions as a basis in the following Kyoto-type treaties can reduce the sense of inequality among developed and developing countries alike, as it can reveal inactivities and responsibilities among countries.

## Cost-benefit analysis

Economists have been trying to analyse the overall net benefit of Kyoto Protocol through cost-benefit analysis. Just as in the case of climatology, there is disagreement due to large uncertainties in economic variables.<sup>[63]</sup> Still, the estimates so far generally indicate either that observing the Kyoto Protocol is less expensive than not observing the Kyoto Protocol or that the Kyoto Protocol has a marginal net benefit which exceeds the cost of simply adjusting to global warming. A study in *Nature*<sup>[64]</sup> found that *"accounting only for local external costs, together with production costs, to identify energy strategies, compliance with the Kyoto Protocol would imply lower, not higher, overall costs."*

The recent Copenhagen consensus project found that the Kyoto Protocol would slow down the process of global warming, but have a superficial overall benefit. Defenders of the Kyoto Protocol argue, however, that while the initial greenhouse gas cuts may have little effect, they set the political precedent for bigger (and more effective) cuts in the future.<sup>[65]</sup> They also advocate commitment to the precautionary principle. Critics point out that additional higher curbs on carbon emission are likely to cause significantly higher increase in cost, making such defence moot. Moreover, the precautionary principle could apply to any political, social, economic or environmental consequence, which might have equally devastating effect in terms of poverty and environment, making the precautionary argument irrelevant. The Stern Review (a UK government sponsored report into the economic impacts of climate change) concluded that one percent of global GDP is required to be invested in order to mitigate the effects of climate change, and that failure to do so could risk a recession worth up to twenty percent of global GDP.<sup>[66]</sup>

## Discount rates

One problem in attempting to measure the "absolute" costs and benefits of different policies to global warming is choosing a proper discount rate. Over a long time horizon such as that in which benefits accrue under Kyoto, small changes in the discount rate create very large discrepancies between net benefits in various studies. However, this difficulty is generally not applicable to "relative" comparison of alternative policies under a long time horizon. This is because changes in discount rates tend to equally adjust the net cost/benefit of different policies unless there are significant discrepancies of cost and benefit over time horizon.

While it has been difficult to arrive at a scenario under which the net benefits of Kyoto are positive using traditional discounting methods such as the Shadow Price of Capital approach,<sup>[67]</sup> there is an argument that a much lower discount rate should be utilized; that high rates are biased toward the current generation. This may appear to be a philosophical value judgement, outside the realm of economics, but it could be equally argued that the study of the allocation of resources does include how those resources are allocated over time.

## Increase in GHG emission since 1990

Below is a list of the change in GHG emissions from 1990 to 2004 for some countries that are part of the Climate Change Convention as reported by the United Nations.<sup>[68]</sup>

Country	Change in GHG Emissions (1990-2004)	EU Assigned Objective for 2012	Treaty Obligation 2008-2012
Germany	-17%	-21%	-8%

Canada	+27%	N/A	-6%
Spain	+49%	+15%	-8%
United States	+16%	N/A	N/A
France	-0.8%	0%	-8%
Greece	+27%	+25%	-8%
Ireland	+23%	+13%	-8%
Japan	+6.5%	N/A	-6%
United Kingdom	-14%	-12.5%	-8%
Portugal	+41%	+27%	-8%
EU-15	-0.8%	N/A	-8%

Below is a table of the changes in CO2 emission of some other countries which are large contributors, but are not required to meet numerical limitations.<sup>[69]</sup>

Country	Change in GHG Emissions (1990-2004)
China	+47%
India	+55%

Comparing total greenhouse gas emissions in 2004 to 1990 levels, the US emissions were up by 16%,<sup>[70]</sup> with irregular fluctuations from one year to another but a general trend to increase.<sup>[71]</sup> At the same time, the EU group of 23 (EU-23) Nations had reduced their emissions by 5%.<sup>[72]</sup> In addition, the EU-15 group of nations (a large subset of EU-23) reduced their emissions by 0.8% between 1990 and 2004, while emission rose 2.5% from 1999 to 2004. Part of the increases for some of the European Union countries are still inline with the treaty, being part of the cluster of countries implementation (see objectives in the list above).

Further complicating the debate over the Kyoto Protocol is the fact that CO2 emissions growth in the US was far ahead of that of the EU-15 from 1990-2000, but from 2000-2004, America's rate of growth in CO2 emissions was eight percentage points lower than from 1995-2000, while the EU-15 saw an increase of 2.3 points. From 2000-2004, the United States' CO2 emissions growth rate was 2.1%, compared to the EU-15's 4.5%. That happened while the US economy was expanding 38% faster than the economies of the EU-15 while experiencing population growth at twice the rate of the EU-15.<sup>[73]</sup> This naturally has led to questions and debate about the merits of a mandatory emissions cap approach (as currently adopted under Kyoto) versus a voluntary approach to emissions reduction (as adopted by the United States).

As of year-end 2006, the United Kingdom and Sweden were the only EU countries on pace to meet their Kyoto emissions commitments by 2010. While UN statistics indicate that, as a group, the 36 Kyoto signatory countries can meet the 5% reduction target by 2012, most of the progress in greenhouse gas reduction has come from the stark decline in Eastern European countries' emissions after the fall of communism in the 1990s.<sup>[74]</sup>

## Successor

In the non-binding 'Washington Declaration' agreed on February 16, 2007, Presidents or Prime Ministers from Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Russia, United Kingdom, the United States, Brazil, China, India, Mexico and South Africa agreed in principle on the outline of a successor to the Kyoto Protocol. They envisage a global cap-and-trade system that would apply to both industrialized nations and developing countries, and hoped that this would be in place by 2009.<sup>[75][76]</sup>

## Asia Pacific Partnership on Clean Development and Climate

*See also: Asia Pacific Partnership on Clean Development and Climate*

The Asia Pacific Partnership on Clean Development and Climate is an agreement between six Asia-Pacific nations: Australia, China, India, Japan, South Korea, and the United States. The partnership had its official launch in January of 2006 at a ceremony in Sydney, Australia. Within the past year, the six nations have initiated nearly 100 projects aimed at clean energy capacity building and market formation. Building on these activities, long-term projects are scheduled to deploy clean energy and environment technologies and services. The pact allows those countries to set their goals for reducing greenhouse gas emissions individually, but with no enforcement mechanism. Supporters of the pact see it as complementing the Kyoto Protocol whilst being more flexible while critics have said the pact will be ineffective without any enforcement measures and ultimately aims to void the negotiations leading to the Protocol called to replace the current Kyoto Protocol (negotiations started in Montreal in December 2005).

## See also

- G8+5
- Asia Pacific Partnership on Clean Development and Climate
- Action on climate change
- Carbon sequestration
- Carbon dioxide equivalent
- Citizens for a Sound Economy
- Emissions trading
- Environmental agreements
- Global warming controversy
- Mitigation of global warming
- Montreal Protocol
- Tragedy of the commons
- Politicization of science
- United Kingdom Climate Change Programme
- List of countries by carbon dioxide emissions
- List of countries by carbon dioxide emissions per capita
- List of countries by ratio of GDP to carbon dioxide emissions
- Superfund
- Trans-Mediterranean Renewable Energy Cooperation
- Low-carbon economy

Part of a series on **global warming***Subtopics*

Scientific opinion • Attribution of causes • Effects • Mitigation • Adaptation • Controversy • Politics • Economics

*Related articles*

Climate change • Deforestation • Global climate modelling • Global cooling • Global dimming • Greenhouse effect • Greenhouse gases

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change • **Kyoto Protocol** • Peak Oil • Renewable energy • Temperature data

## References

- <sup>1</sup> ^ The Kyoto protocol - A brief summary (<http://ec.europa.eu/environment/climat/kyoto.htm>). *European Commission*. Retrieved on 2007 April 19.
- <sup>2</sup> ^ Article 2 ([http://unfccc.int/essential\\_background/convention/background/items/1353.php](http://unfccc.int/essential_background/convention/background/items/1353.php)). *The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change*. Retrieved on November 15, 2005.
- <sup>3</sup> ^ Executive Summary. Chapter 9: Projections of Future Climate Change ([http://www.grida.no/climate/ipcc\\_tar/wg1/339.htm](http://www.grida.no/climate/ipcc_tar/wg1/339.htm)). *Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis*. Retrieved on November 15, 2005.
- <sup>4</sup> ^ Wigley, Tom (Spring 2006). The effect of the Kyoto Protocol on global warming (<http://www.ucar.edu/news/record/>). Retrieved on 2006 October 30.
- <sup>5</sup> ^ Wigley, Tom. "The Kyoto Protocol: CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and climate implications (<http://www.agu.org/pubs/abs/gl/98GL01855/98GL01855.html>)". *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **25** (13): 2285. Retrieved on March 4, 2006.
- <sup>6</sup> ^ Article 4 ([http://unfccc.int/essential\\_background/convention/background/items/1362.php](http://unfccc.int/essential_background/convention/background/items/1362.php)). *The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change*. Retrieved on November 15, 2005.
- <sup>7</sup> ^ Kyoto Protocol: Status of Ratification, 10 July 2006 (PDF) ([http://unfccc.int/files/essential\\_background/kyoto\\_protocol/application/pdf/kpstats.pdf](http://unfccc.int/files/essential_background/kyoto_protocol/application/pdf/kpstats.pdf)). UNFCCC. Retrieved on October 30, 2006.
- <sup>8</sup> ^ Climate Action Network Europe: Ratification Calendar (<http://www.climnet.org/EUenergy/ratification/calendar.htm>). Retrieved on October 30, 2006.
- <sup>9</sup> ^ The full text of the convention ([http://unfccc.int/essential\\_background/convention/background/items/1353.php](http://unfccc.int/essential_background/convention/background/items/1353.php)). *The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change*. Retrieved on November 5, 2006.
- <sup>10</sup> ^ An Introduction to the Kyoto Protocol Compliance Mechanism ([http://unfccc.int/kyoto\\_mechanisms/compliance/introduction/items/3024.php](http://unfccc.int/kyoto_mechanisms/compliance/introduction/items/3024.php)). UNFCCC. Retrieved on 2006 October 30.
- <sup>11</sup> ^ Newton, Peter W. (2001). *Australia State of the Environment 2001 Report, Human Settlements Theme Report* (<http://www.deh.gov.au/soe/2001/settlements/settlements05-4a.html>). Australian Government Department of the Environment and Heritage. ISBN 0-643-06747-7. Retrieved on 2006-11-14.
- <sup>12</sup> ^ "Howard rejects emissions targets (<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/asia-pacific/4797339.stm>)", *BBC News Website*, 2006-08-16. Retrieved on 2006-10-30.
- <sup>13</sup> ^ "Govt still not serious about climate change: Labor (<http://abc.net.au/news/newsitems/200610/s1772952.htm>)", *ABC News Online*, 2006-10-26. Retrieved on 2006-10-30.
- <sup>14</sup> ^ Renewable energy alternatives for electricity generation (<http://www.abc.net.au/rn/scienceshow/stories/2006/1658637.htm>). ABC Radio website (2006-06-10). Retrieved on 2006 October 30.
- <sup>15</sup> ^ 2006 Tracking to the Kyoto Target (<http://www.greenhouse.gov.au/projections/pubs/tracking2006.pdf>) (PDF), Australian Greenhouse Office, December 2006
- <sup>16</sup> ^ Greenhouse Gas Abatement Scheme (<http://www.greenhousegas.nsw.gov.au/>). NSW Greenhouse Gas Abatement Scheme.
- <sup>17</sup> ^ National Emissions Trading Taskforce (<http://www.emissionstrading.net.au/home>). Retrieved on 2006 October 31.
- <sup>18</sup> ^ COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA CONSTITUTION ACT (<http://scaleplus.law.gov.au/html/pasteact/1/641/top.htm>). The Attorney-General's Department. Retrieved on

2006 October 31.

19. ^ *Support (74%) Remains High for Kyoto Protocol* (<http://www.ipsos-na.com/news/pressrelease.cfm?id=1667>). Press release. Retrieved on November 15.
20. ^ Graves, Frank; Boucher, Christian. *Public Attitudes Towards the Kyoto Protocol* (<http://www.ekos.com/admin/articles/10June02KyotoProt.pdf>). Ekos Research Associates. Retrieved on November 15, 2005.
21. ^ "Business leaders call for climate change action (<http://www.cbc.ca/story/business/national/2005/11/17/kyotobiz-051117.html>)", *CBC*, 17 November 2005.
22. ^ "Canada-Kyoto timeline (<http://www.cbc.ca/news/background/kyoto/timeline.html>)", *CBC News*, 2006-10-11.
23. ^ ([2006-09-28]) *2006 Report of the Commissioner of the Environment and Sustainable Development* ([http://www.oag-bvg.gc.ca/domino/reports.nsf/html/c2006menu\\_e.html](http://www.oag-bvg.gc.ca/domino/reports.nsf/html/c2006menu_e.html)). Office of the Auditor General of Canada.
24. ^ "Ambrose drops hints that Canada's position on Kyoto may be changing (<http://www.canada.com/topics/news/national/story.html?id=37ccc2a8-b164-458b-a11c-21cab1236a5a&k=44333>)", *Canadian Press*, 2006-11-19. Retrieved on 2006-11-01.
25. ^ CBC News: "Canada supports six-nation climate change pact: Ambrose" (<http://www.cbc.ca/story/canada/national/2006/04/25/ambrose060425.html>)
26. ^ "Canada Alters Course on Kyoto (<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2006/05/02/AR2006050201774.html>)", *Washington Post*, 2006-05-03.
27. ^ "Rona Ambrose goes from Ottawa to Nairobi, but can't shake her critics ([http://www.macleans.ca/topstories/national/article.jsp?article=2006\\_11\\_16\\_1163698971](http://www.macleans.ca/topstories/national/article.jsp?article=2006_11_16_1163698971))", *Macleans*, 2006-11-16.
28. ^ Canadian Parliament Bill C-288 "An Act to ensure Canada meets its global climate change obligations under the Kyoto Protocol" ([http://www.parl.gc.ca/39/1/parlbus/chambus/house/bills/private/C-288/C-288\\_1/C-288\\_cover-e.html](http://www.parl.gc.ca/39/1/parlbus/chambus/house/bills/private/C-288/C-288_1/C-288_cover-e.html)). House of Commons of Canada. Retrieved on 2006 November 1.
29. ^ Opposition MPs pass Kyoto bill despite Tory resistance (<http://www.cbc.ca/canada/story/2007/02/14/kyoto-vote.html>). Canadian Broadcast Corporation. Retrieved on 2007 February 15.
30. ^ Kyoto bill sparks constitutional questions (<http://www.cbc.ca/canada/story/2007/02/15/kyoto-bill.html>). "Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. Retrieved on 2007 February 15.
31. ^ <http://select.nytimes.com/gst/abstract.html?res=F50B12F83A5B0C748CDDA80994DE404482>
32. ^ Carbon emissions rising faster than ever (<http://www.newscientist.com/article/dn10507-carbon-emissions-rising-faster-than-ever.html>). New Scientist (2006). Retrieved on December 5, 2006.
33. ^ "New German Rule Could Increase Greenhouse Gas Emissions (<http://www.nytimes.com/2006/06/29/business/worldbusiness/29green.html?ex=1309233600&en=4cd4442637d83026&ei=5088&partner=rssnyt&emc=rss>)", *New York Times*, 2006-06-29. Retrieved on 2006-11-01.
34. ^ New Bill and strategy lay foundations for tackling climate change (<http://www.defra.gov.uk/news/2007/070313a.htm>), Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, published 13 March 2007, accessed 13 March 2007.
35. ^ <sup>a</sup> <sup>b</sup> <sup>c</sup> Revision to UK methane emission statistics and climate change sustainable development indicator (<http://www.defra.gov.uk/news/2006/060807a.htm>). DEFRA. Retrieved on 2006 November 1.
36. ^ "Russian Government Approves Kyoto Protocol Ratification (<http://www.mosnews.com/money/2004/09/30/kyotoapproved.shtml>)", *mosnews.com*, 2004-09-30. Retrieved on 2006-11-02.
37. ^ "Russia forced to ratify Kyoto Protocol to become WTO member ([http://english.pravda.ru/main/18/88/354/14495\\_kyoto.html](http://english.pravda.ru/main/18/88/354/14495_kyoto.html))", *Pravada*, 2004-10-26. Retrieved on 2006-11-03.
38. ^ PRESS CONFERENCE WITH PRESIDENTIAL ECONOMIC ADVISER ANDREI ILLARIONOV ([http://www.sysecol.ethz.ch/Articles\\_Reports/Illarionov\\_Press\\_Conf.pdf](http://www.sysecol.ethz.ch/Articles_Reports/Illarionov_Press_Conf.pdf)) (2003-10-03). Retrieved on 2006 November 3.
39. ^ United States Country Analysis Brief (<http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/cabs/Usa/Full.html>). US Energy Information Administration (2005). Retrieved on December 5, 2006.
40. ^ <http://news.independent.co.uk/world/asia/article1962439.ece>
41. ^ Text of the Byrd-Hagel Resolution (<http://www.nationalcenter.org/KyotoSenate.html>) (1997-07-25).

Retrieved on 2006 November 5.

42. ^ U.S. Senate Roll Call Votes 105th Congress - 1st Session:S.Res. 98 ([http://www.senate.gov/legislative/LIS/roll\\_call\\_lists/roll\\_call\\_vote\\_cfm.cfm?congress=105&session=1&vote=00205](http://www.senate.gov/legislative/LIS/roll_call_lists/roll_call_vote_cfm.cfm?congress=105&session=1&vote=00205)) (1997-07-25). Retrieved on 2007 January 31.
43. ^ "Clinton Hails Global Warming Pact (<http://www.cnn.com/ALLPOLITICS/1997/12/11/kyoto/>)", *All Politics, CNN*, 1997-12-11. Retrieved on 2006-11-05.
44. ^ China Country Analysis Brief (<http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/cabs/china.html>). US Energy Information Administration. Retrieved on November 15, 2005.
45. ^ "George W. Bush: The Un-science Guy (<http://www.alternet.org/story/11054/>)", *AlterNet*, 2001-06-19. Retrieved on 2006-11-05.
46. ^ The Whitehouse (2001-06-11). *President Bush Discusses Global Climate Change* (<http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2001/06/20010611-2.html>). Press release. Retrieved on 2006-11-05.
47. ^ Eilperin, Juliet. "Climate Plan Splits U.S. and Europe (<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2005/07/01/AR2005070101915.html>)", *Washington Post*, 2005-07-02. Retrieved on 2006-11-05.
48. ^ Schmid, Randolph E.. "Agency Blocked Hurricane Report (<http://www.enn.com/today.html?id=11336>)", *Environmental News Network*, 2006-09-27. Retrieved on 2006-11-05.
49. ^ Krugman, Paul. "Ersatz Climate Policy (requires login) (<http://www.nytimes.com/2002/02/15/opinion/15KRUG.html>)", *New York Times*. Retrieved on November 15.
50. ^ "Bush aide 'edited climate papers' (<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/americas/4075986.stm>)", *BBC*, 2005-06-09. Retrieved on 2006-11-07.
51. ^ Vidal, John. "Revealed - how oil giant influenced Bush (<http://www.guardian.co.uk/climatechange/story/0,12374,1501646,00.html>)", *Guardian*, 2005-06-08. Retrieved on 2006-11-07.
52. ^ Ackerman, David M. (2002-10-01). Global Climate Change - Selected Legal Questions About the Kyoto Protocol (pdf) (<http://www.opencrs.com/getfile.php?rid=33856>). Congressional Research Service. Retrieved on 2006 November 7.
53. ^ Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (<http://www.rggi.org/>). Retrieved on 2006 November 7.
54. ^ US Climate Protection Agreement Home Page (<http://www.ci.seattle.wa.us/mayor/climate/quotes.htm#mayors>). Retrieved on 2006 November 7.
55. ^ "Business leaders call for climate change action (<http://www.cbc.ca/story/business/national/2005/11/17/kyotobiz-051117.html>)", *CBC*.
56. ^ People of the World ratifying Kyoto Protocol (<http://www.thepetitionsite.com/takeaction/179477556>). The Petition Site. Retrieved on 2006 November 7.
57. ^ People of Earth Group (<http://www.care2.com/c2c/group/PeopleOfEarth>). Retrieved on 2006 November 7.
58. ^ Kyoto protocol status(pdf) ([http://unfccc.int/files/essential\\_background/kyoto\\_protocol/application/pdf/kpstats.pdf](http://unfccc.int/files/essential_background/kyoto_protocol/application/pdf/kpstats.pdf)). UNFCCC. Retrieved on 2006 November 7.
59. ^ Mendelsohn, Robert O. (2005-02-18). An Economist's View of the Kyoto Climate Treaty (<http://www.npr.org/templates/story/story.php?storyId=4504298>). NPR. Retrieved on 2006 November 7.
60. ^ Hilsenrath, Jon E.. "Environmental Economists Debate Merit of U.S.'s Kyoto Withdrawal (<http://www.business.uiuc.edu/seppala/econ102/kyoto.html>)", *Wall Street Journal*, 2001-08-07. Retrieved on 2006-11-07.
61. ^ Adams, Cecil (2006-04-30). Is global warming for real? (<http://www.straightdope.com/columns/060407.html>). Straight Dope. Retrieved on 2006 November 7.
62. ^ The Impact of the Kyoto Protocol on U.S. Economic Growth and Projected Budget Surpluses (<http://www.accf.org/publications/testimonies/test-impactkyoto-march25-1999.html>). Retrieved on 2005 November 15.
63. ^ "Economic Effects of a Complex Agreement Depend on Many Assumptions" (<http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/kyoto/kyotobrf.html>). US Department of Energy. Retrieved on November 15, 2005.
64. ^ De Leo, Giulio A.; Luca Rizzi, Andrea Caizzi and Marino Gatto (2001-10-04). "Carbon emissions: The economic benefits of the Kyoto Protocol (<http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v413/n6855/abs/413478a0.html>)". *Nature* **413**: 478-479. DOI:10.1038/35097156 (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/35097156>). Retrieved on 2006-11-07.

65. ^ McKittrick, Ross (2000-09-26). Submission to the Joint Standing Committee on Treaties Inquiry into the Kyoto Protocol (pdf) (<http://www.uoguelph.ca/~rmckittri/research/australia.pdf>). Retrieved on 2006 November 7.
66. ^ Stern, Nicholas (2006-10-30). Stern Review: The Economics of Climate Change, Summary of Conclusions (pdf) ([http://www.hm-treasury.gov.uk/media/8A8/C1/Summary\\_of\\_Conclusions.pdf](http://www.hm-treasury.gov.uk/media/8A8/C1/Summary_of_Conclusions.pdf)).
67. ^ Farber, Daniel A.; Paul A. Hemmersbaugh (1993). "The Shadow of the Future: Discount Rates, Later Generations, and the Environment (<http://www.ciesin.org/docs/010-291/010-291.html>)". *Vanderbilt Law Review* **46**: 267-304. Retrieved on 2006-11-07.
68. ^ United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change: Changes in GHG emissions from 1990 to 2004 for Annex I Parties ([http://unfccc.int/files/essential\\_background/background\\_publications\\_htmlpdf/application/pdf/ghg\\_table\\_06](http://unfccc.int/files/essential_background/background_publications_htmlpdf/application/pdf/ghg_table_06)).
69. ^ International Carbon Dioxide Emissions and Carbon Intensity (<http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/international/carbondioxide.html>). The Energy Information Administration.
70. ^ U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions 1990 - 2004 ([http://www.pewclimate.org/global-warming-basics/facts\\_and\\_figures/us\\_ghgemissions90\\_04.cfm](http://www.pewclimate.org/global-warming-basics/facts_and_figures/us_ghgemissions90_04.cfm)). Pew Center for Global Climate Change. Retrieved on 2007 January 19.
71. ^ U.S. Environmental Protection Agency: U.S. Greenhouse Gas Inventory Reports (<http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/emissions/downloads06/06Trends.pdf>).
72. ^ Greenhouse gas emission trends and projections in Europe 2006 ([http://reports.eea.europa.eu/eea\\_report\\_2006\\_9/en/eea\\_report\\_9\\_2006.pdf](http://reports.eea.europa.eu/eea_report_2006_9/en/eea_report_9_2006.pdf)). European Environment Agency. Retrieved on 2007 January 19.
73. ^ [1] ([http://online.wsj.com/article\\_print/SB116606091947649743.html](http://online.wsj.com/article_print/SB116606091947649743.html))
74. ^ [2] ([http://www.iol.co.za/index.php?set\\_id=1&click\\_id=31&art\\_id=qw116072424316B264](http://www.iol.co.za/index.php?set_id=1&click_id=31&art_id=qw116072424316B264))
75. ^ BBC: Politicians sign new climate pact, February 16, 2007 (<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/sci/tech/6364663.stm>)
76. ^ Guardian Unlimited: Global leaders reach climate change agreement (<http://environment.guardian.co.uk/climatechange/story/0,,2014683,00.html>)

## External links

### Protocol

- Full text of the Kyoto Protocol (HTML version) (<http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/convkp/kpeng.html>), (PDF version) (<http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/convkp/kpeng.pdf>)
- Kyoto Protocol to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change at Law-Ref.org (<http://law-ref.org/KYOTO/index.html>) - fully indexed and crosslinked with other documents
- List of countries who have ratified, accepted, approved, or accessed the Kyoto Protocol ([http://unfccc.int/files/essential\\_background/kyoto\\_protocol/application/pdf/kpstats.pdf](http://unfccc.int/files/essential_background/kyoto_protocol/application/pdf/kpstats.pdf))

### Implementation

- Imagining a Post-Kyoto Climate Regime (<http://fletcher.tufts.edu/news/2005/04/najam.shtml>) by Prof. Adil Najam of The Fletcher School of Law and Diplomacy
- Second Analysis Confirms Greenhouse Gas Reductions in China (<http://www.nrdc.org/media/pressreleases/010615.asp>). Source from the Natural Resources Defense Council. Comprehensive analysis of China's recent economic development and its effects on Chinese emissions.
- "Global Tragedy of the Commons at COP 6" (<http://www.greens.org/s-r/24/24-26.html>)
- Ode to Kyoto: The energy industry's stealth campaign to confuse the public and stop Kyoto ([http://www.pbs.org/nw/thisweek/index\\_012304.html](http://www.pbs.org/nw/thisweek/index_012304.html)) - Broadcast 23 January 2004 on PBS' *NOW with Bill Moyers* (RealVideo format).
- Happy Kyoto Day (<http://www.worldchanging.com/archives/002119.html>) - Article by Jamais

Cascio on the Kyoto Protocol

- Kyoto Count Up ([http://www.junkscience.com/MSU\\_Temps/Kyoto\\_Count\\_Up.htm](http://www.junkscience.com/MSU_Temps/Kyoto_Count_Up.htm)) - junkscience.com's Kyoto Protocol implementation cost claims, with counting clock.
- TerraPass (<http://www.terrapass.com/>) - a method for private individuals in the US to voluntarily participate in a methodology similar in spirit to the cap-and-trade component of the Kyoto Protocol, while omitting components requiring bulk payments to developing countries.
- DriveNeutral (<http://www.driveneutral.org/>) - tool for individuals to take direct action on their own carbon footprint, grassroots effort to stimulate large scale emissions reductions, a non-profit approach for individuals interested in making a personal difference, associated with Presidio School of Management's Sustainable Management MBA program.
- SinksWatch (<http://www.sinkswatch.org/>) - An initiative to track and scrutinize carbon sink projects
- Michael Grubb (<http://www.opendemocracy.net/debates/article-6-129-2517.jsp>) of the Carbon Trust and Imperial College London on implementing the Kyoto Protocol
- Climate Justice and Equity (<http://www.globalissues.org/EnvIssues/GlobalWarming/Justice.asp>) - article by Anup Shah explaining why developing and industrialized countries are not subject to similar targets
- Carbon Finance news service (<http://www.carbon-financeonline.com/>)
- Minneapolis Exceeds Kyoto Protocol in Measurable Pollutants ([http://www.ci.minneapolis.mn.us/mayor/news/20040928kyoto\\_pr.asp](http://www.ci.minneapolis.mn.us/mayor/news/20040928kyoto_pr.asp))
- The Byrd-Hagel Resolution (<http://www.nationalcenter.org/KyotoSenate.html>) "Expressing the sense of the Senate regarding the conditions for the United States becoming a signatory to any international agreement on greenhouse gas emissions under the United Nations"
- Kyoto promises are nothing but hot air ([http://www.newscientist.com/article.ns?id=mg19025574.000&feedId=online-news\\_rss20](http://www.newscientist.com/article.ns?id=mg19025574.000&feedId=online-news_rss20)) - evidence that the figures reported by many countries are wrong, especially for methane.
- IPS Inter Press Service ([http://www.ipsnews.net/new\\_focus/kyoto/index.asp](http://www.ipsnews.net/new_focus/kyoto/index.asp)) - Independent news on climate change and its consequences

Retrieved from "[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kyoto\\_Protocol](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kyoto_Protocol)"

Categories: Articles with unsourced statements since January 2007 | All articles with unsourced statements | Articles with unsourced statements since February 2007 | Articles with unsourced statements since April 2007 | 2005 in law | Climate change policies | Treaties on the environment | Climate change | Sustainability | Environment

- 
- This page was last modified 17:32, 18 April 2007.
  - All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License. (See **Copyrights** for details.) Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the Wikimedia Foundation, Inc., a US-registered 501(c)(3) tax-deductible nonprofit charity.